

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL PROFESSIONAL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES FOR THE MASON CREEK GREENWAY TRAIL PROJECT ADDENDUM #1

RFQ N	lo. <u>S1</u>	7-039 Addendum #: 1 Date: April 4, 2017		
Please note the clarification and/or additional information for the solicitation referenced above.				
1.	Part II	#1.5 Due Date:		
	Due date for responses is April 12 th by 3:00 PM.			
2.	Q:	Are the subdivision plans available digitally?		
	A:	Not in time for the addendum. They may be made available for the successful respondent.		
3.	Q:	Are license numbers acceptable for proof of licensure?		
	A:	Yes.		
4.	Q:	Is the proposed trail entirely in the city's right of way or dedicated parkland?		
	A:	Yes. The only property left to acquire is the small trailhead parking area now in County Court hands for failure to pay taxes. The City has submitted a bid. The award of that bid should be announced no later than May 2017.		
5.	Q:	Is the goal to connect the trial to the Bagdad trail?		
	A:	Yes.		
6.	Q:	Does the budgeted \$569,000 include the design fees?		
	A:	Yes.		
7.	Q:	Is a 10-foot trail width preferred?		
	A:	Yes.		
8.	Q:	Is any part of the project grant funded?		
	A:	No.		
9.	Q:	Does the city have a preference in stabilization materials?		
	A:	City seeks a result similar to Sarita Valley.		
10.	Q:	Is there flexibility on the timeline?		

A:

Yes.

11.	Q:	Has an assessment been performed to repair the corridors?		
	A:	No.		
12.	Q:	Does the city seek a design with opportunities to fish and reach the creek?		
	A:	The community feedback and design input process will establish the specific design elements desired.		
13.	Q:	Is the city's intent to install the entire trail project?		
	A:	Yes, but more elaborate design elements, if needed, can be budgeted in a subsequent phase.		
14.	Q:	Do the neighborhood homeowners know about the project?		
	A:	Most likely not at this time.		
15.	Q:	Is there a margin limit on the responses?		
	A:	Please use business appropriate margins.		
16.	Q:	Does the letter of transmittal count toward the 25 pages?		
	A:	Yes.		
17.	Q:	Are respondents able to submit letters of reference and if so do they count toward the 25 page limit?		
	A:	Yes they can be submitted and yes they will county toward the 25 page limit.		
18.	Q:	Is Part III, Section 8 Tab #6 supposed to be the respondent's recommended timeline?		
	A:	Yes. Respondent's shall propose their best and most effective recommended timeline. If acceptable, this timeline may become part of the resulting agreement.		
19.	Q:	Should Attachment A and B be filled out by lead firm or multiple firms.		
	A:	Lead firm only at this time.		
		Qu		
Approved by				
		Joy Simonton, General Services Manager		